	Case 2:04-cr-00178-MJP	Document 184	Filed 06/16/10	Page 1 of 3	
01					
02					
03					
04					
05					
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
07	AT SEATTLE				
08	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CAS	E NO. CR04-178-	MJP	
09	Plaintiff,)			
10	v.	,	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.		
11	JOSHUA LEE COLLIER,) ALL	MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE		
12	Defendant.) OF S	OUPER VISED REL	EASE	
13)			
14	An initial hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before me				
15	on June 16, 2010. The United States was represented by AUSA Steve Masada and the defendant				
16	by Terrence Kellogg. The proceedings were digitally recorded.				
17	Defendant had been sentenced on or about September 9, 2005 by the Honorable Marsha				
18	J. Pechman on charges of Conspiracy to Distribute Cocaine; Distribution of Cocaine; and				
19	Conspiracy to Manufacture Marijuana, and sentenced to 60 months custody on each count (to run				
20	concurrently), five years supervised release. (Dkt. 146.)				
21	The conditions of supervised release included the standard conditions plus the				
22	requirements that defendant be prohibited from possessing a firearm, submit to drug testing, SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -1				

participate in a substance abuse program, abstain from alcohol, submit to search, provide his probation officer with financial information as requested, not be employed by friends, relatives, associates or persons previously known to the defendant unless pre-approved, not work for cash, provide regular pay stubs to his probation officer, and disclose all business interests.

In an application dated June 8, 2010 (Dkt. 178-79), U.S. Probation Officer Jennifer Van Flandern alleged the following violations of the conditions of supervised release:

- 1. Failing to submit a written report to the U.S. Probation Office within the first five days of April, May, and June 2010, in violation of standard condition number 2.
- 2. Failing to report to the probation office on April 29 and June 1, 2010, in violation of standard condition number 2.

Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.

Defendant admitted alleged violation one and waived any evidentiary hearing as to whether it occurred. Defendant denied alleged violation two and requested an evidentiary hearing before Judge Pechman.

I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as alleged in violation one, and that the Court conduct a hearing on the disposition of that violation, as well as an evidentiary hearing on violation two. The next hearing will be set before Judge Pechman.

Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been released on the conditions of supervision.

20 / / /

02

03

04

05

06

07

09

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

21 //

22 //

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -2

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -3